# Monotonicity Properties of the Zeros of Ultraspherical Polynomials* 

Árpád Elbert<br>Mathematical Institute of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences, Reáltanoda u. 13-15, H-1053 Budapest, Hungary<br>and<br>Panayiotis D. Siafarikas<br>Department of Mathematics, University of Patras, Patras, Greece<br>Communicated by Alphonse P. Magnus

Received September 12, 1996; accepted in revised form March 17, 1998


#### Abstract

Let $x_{n, k}^{(\lambda)}, k=1,2, \ldots,[n / 2]$, denote the $k$ th positive zero in increasing order of the ultraspherical polynomial $P_{n}^{(\lambda)}(x)$. We prove that the function $\left[\lambda+\left(2 n^{2}+1\right) /\right.$ $(4 n+2)]^{1 / 2} x_{n, k}^{(\lambda)}$ increases as $\lambda$ increases for $\lambda>-1 / 2$. The proof is based on two integrals involved with the square of the ultraspherical polynomial $P_{n}^{(\lambda)}(x)$. © 1999 Academic Press


## 1. INTRODUCTION AND THE MAIN RESULTS

Let $x_{n, k}^{(\lambda)}, k=1,2, \ldots,[n / 2]$, denote the $k$ th positive zero in increasing order of the ultraspherical polynomial $P_{n}^{(\lambda)}(x), n=0,1,2, \ldots, \lambda>-1 / 2$. A known result, due to Stieltjes [16; 17, Theorem 6.2.11.1], says that for any fixed $n \geqslant 2$ and $k, 1 \leqslant k \leqslant[n / 2]$, the positive zeros $x_{n, k}^{(\lambda)}$ decrease as $\lambda$ increases. In [14], A. Laforgia proved that the function $\lambda x_{n, k}^{(\lambda)}$ increases as $\lambda$ increases at least for $0<\lambda<1$. In [1], S. Ahmed et al. have found the more general result, namely the function $\left[\lambda+\left(2 n^{2}+1\right) /(4 n+2)\right]^{1 / 2} x_{n, k}^{(\lambda)}$ increases as $\lambda$ increases for $-1 / 2<\lambda \leqslant 3 / 2$. Then in [13], M. E. H. Ismail and $\mathbf{J}$. Letessier formulated a conjecture in the form that $\sqrt{\lambda} x_{n, k}^{(\lambda)}$ increases as $\lambda$ increases for $\lambda>0$. Later in [12] this was reformulated as the Ismail-Letessier-Askey conjecture (ILAC):

[^0]ILAC Conjecture. Let $n>2$ and $1 \leqslant k \leqslant[n / 2]$, then the function $(\lambda+1)^{1 / 2} x_{n, k}^{(\lambda)}$ increases as $\lambda$ increases for $\lambda>-1 / 2$.

This conjecture is supported by the following known facts:
(i) When $n=2, x_{2,1}^{(\lambda)}=1 / \sqrt{2(\lambda+1)}$, and $n=3, x_{3,1}^{(\lambda)}=\sqrt{3 / 2(\lambda+2)}$, from where the ILAC follows.
(ii) The above mentioned Ahmed-Muldoon-Spigler result implies the ILAC for $-1 / 2<\lambda<3 / 2$ and $n>3$.
(iii) In [11], E. Ifantis and the second named author proved the ILAC for the largest positive zero $x_{n,[n / 2]}^{(\lambda)}$ using a functional analytic technique.
(iv) Recently D. Dimitrov [2] proved the ILAC for all positive zeros $x_{n, k}^{(\lambda)}$ for $\lambda \in(-1 / 2,9 / 2]$ and also for $\lambda \in(-1 / 2,3 / 2+v)$ and $n>1+$ $\left(v^{2}+3 v+3 / 2\right)^{1 / 2}$ where $v \in \mathbb{N}$. Moreover he proved this conjecture for the largest zero $x_{n,[n / 2]}^{(\lambda)}$ as E. Ifantis and P. D. Siafarikas, using different method. Finally, D. Dimitrov announced in a review paper [3] that he proved the ILAC for the smallest positive zero $x_{n, 1}^{(\lambda)}$ of $P_{n}^{(\lambda)}(x)$ for $\lambda \geqslant 2$.

Our contribution in this direction is the following.

Theorem. Let $n \geqslant 3$ and $1 \leqslant k \leqslant[n / 2]$. Then the function $\left[\lambda+\left(2 n^{2} /+1\right)\right.$ / $(4 n+2)]^{1 / 2} x_{n, k}^{(\lambda)}$ increases as $\lambda$ increases for $\lambda>-1 / 2$.

Due to the fact that $(\lambda+a) /(\lambda+b)$ increases as $\lambda$ increases provided $a<b$ and $\lambda+b>0$, our Theorem implies the ILAC because $\left(2 n^{2}+1\right) /$ $(4 n+2)>1$ for $n \geqslant 3$.

For the proof of our Theorem we shall need the following definite integrals. Let $I_{v}=I_{v}(n, \lambda)$ be defined by

$$
\begin{gather*}
I_{v}=I_{v}(n, \lambda)=\int_{-1}^{1}\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{\lambda-v-1 / 2}\left[P_{n}^{(\lambda)}(x)\right]^{2} d x, \\
\lambda>v-\frac{1}{2}, \quad v=0,1,2 . \tag{1.1}
\end{gather*}
$$

Particularly, $I_{0}$ is well known [17, p. 80],

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{0}(n, \lambda)=\frac{\pi 2^{1-2 \lambda} \Gamma(n+2 \lambda)}{n!(n+\lambda)[\Gamma(\lambda)]^{2}}, \quad \lambda>-\frac{1}{2}, \quad n=0,1, \ldots \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

because it plays a role in the theory of ultraspherical polynomials.

The formula for $I_{1}$ is less familiar,

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{1}(n, \lambda)=\frac{\pi 2^{1-2 \lambda} \Gamma(n+2 \lambda)}{n!(\lambda-1 / 2)[\Gamma(\lambda)]^{2}}, \quad \lambda>\frac{1}{2}, \quad n=0,1, \ldots \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

but it is equivalent to [8, 281(9)] or [9, 7.314(1)] or to (3.9) in [7].
Finally, the case $v=2$ is also not incorporated into the standard books on definite integrals:

$$
\begin{gather*}
I_{2}(n, \lambda)=\frac{\pi 2^{-2 \lambda} \Gamma(n+2 \lambda)}{n![\Gamma(\lambda)]^{2}} \frac{(n+\lambda)^{2}+\lambda^{2}-\lambda-1}{(\lambda+1 / 2)(\lambda-1 / 2)(\lambda-3 / 2)}, \\
\lambda>\frac{3}{2}, \quad n=0,1, \ldots \tag{1.4}
\end{gather*}
$$

In Section 3 we shall give a simple proof of the last two formulas.
Let us recall an asymptotic result on the zeros of the ultraspherical polynomials from [6],

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{n, k}^{(\lambda)}=h_{n, k} \lambda^{-1 / 2}\left[1-\frac{2 n-1+2 h_{n, k}^{2}}{8 \lambda}+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\lambda^{2}}\right)\right] \quad(\lambda \rightarrow \infty), \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h_{n, k}$ denotes the corresponding zero of the Hermite polynomial $H_{n}(x)$. Then clearly,

$$
\lim _{\lambda \rightarrow \infty}\left[\lambda+\frac{2 n^{2}+1}{4 n+2}\right]^{1 / 2} x_{n, k}^{(\lambda)}=h_{n, k}
$$

and combining this limit with the monotonicity stated in our Theorem, we conclude

Corollary. For the positive zeros $x_{n, k}^{(\lambda)}$ of the ultraspherical polynomial $P_{n}^{(\lambda)}(x)$ the inequality

$$
\left[\lambda+\frac{2 n^{2}+1}{4 n+2}\right]^{1 / 2} x_{n, k}^{(\lambda)}<h_{n, k} \quad \text { for } \quad \lambda>-\frac{1}{2}, \quad k=1,2, \ldots,\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]
$$

## holds.

The zeros $h_{n, k}$ of the Hermite polynomial $H_{n}(x)$ have different asymptotic behavior near $x=0$ or for large values of $x$ when $n$ tends to infinity [17, p. 130, 132],

$$
\begin{align*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{2 n+1} h_{n, 1} & = \begin{cases}\pi / 2 & \text { if } n \text { even, } \\
\pi & \text { if } n \text { odd, }\end{cases}  \tag{1.6}\\
h_{n,[n / 2]} & =\sqrt{2 n+1}-\mathcal{O}\left(n^{-1 / 6}\right) . \tag{1.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Applying this information, we get for the zero $x_{n, 1}^{(\lambda)}$ :

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sqrt{\lambda+\frac{2 n^{2}+1}{4 n+2}} x_{n, 1}^{(\lambda)}=h_{n, 1}\left[1-\frac{4(2 n+1) h_{n, 1}^{2}-3}{8(2 n+1) \lambda}+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\lambda^{2}}\right)\right] \\
\text { for } \lambda \gg n \gg 1
\end{gathered}
$$

hence by (1.6) the result formulated in our Theorem is rather sharp, in other words, it would be a hard task to improve this Theorem keeping it valid for all $n$, for all $\lambda>-\frac{1}{2}$, and for all positive zeros of $P_{n}^{(\lambda)}(x)$.

For the largest zero of the ultraspherical polynomials our result is not so sharp. In that case the asymptotic formula for $x_{n, k}^{(\lambda)}$ and (1.7) give

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{n,[n / 2]}^{(\lambda)} & =\left\{\sqrt{2 n+1}-\mathcal{O}\left(n^{-1 / 6}\right)\right\} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda+\left(3 n+\mathcal{O}\left(n^{1 / 3}\right)\right) / 2+\mathcal{O}(1 / \lambda)}} \\
& \sim \frac{\sqrt{2 n}}{\sqrt{\lambda+(3 / 2) n}}, \quad \lambda \gg n \gg 1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now this relation can be compared favorable with another inequality from [5]:

$$
x_{n,[n / 2]}^{(\lambda)}<\frac{\sqrt{n^{2}+2 n \lambda}}{n+\lambda}
$$

because

$$
\frac{\sqrt{2 n}}{\sqrt{\lambda+(3 / 2) n}}-\frac{\sqrt{n^{2}+2 n \lambda}}{n+\lambda}=\mathcal{O}\left(\left(\frac{n}{\lambda}\right)^{5 / 2}\right) \quad \text { for } \quad \lambda \gg n \gg 1 .
$$

Another corollary would be formulated by using our Theorem if we compare a positive zero $x_{n, k}^{(\lambda)}$ with $x_{n, k}^{\left(\lambda_{0}\right)}$ where $\lambda_{0}$ is chosen particularly; e.g., for $\lambda_{0}=0, C_{n}^{(0)}(x)=(2 / n) T_{n}(x)$, hence the zeros are $\cos ((2 m-1) / 2 n) \pi$, for $\lambda_{0}=1: C_{n}^{(1)}(x)=$ const $U_{n}(x)$ with zeros $\cos (m / n+1) \pi$. Then

$$
\left(\lambda+\frac{2 n^{2}+1}{4 n+2}\right)^{1 / 2} x_{n, k}^{(\lambda)} \lessgtr\left(\lambda_{0}+\frac{2 n^{2}+1}{4 n+2}\right)^{1 / 2} x_{n, k}^{\left(\lambda_{0}\right)} \quad \text { if } \quad \lambda_{>}^{<} \lambda_{0} .
$$

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

Our proof is based on the application of the generalized Richardson formula established in [7]: if $U(t)=U(\lambda, t)$ is a solution of

$$
\frac{d^{2} U(t)}{d t^{2}}+R(\lambda, t) U(t)=0
$$

with the condition either $U(0)=0$ or $(d / d t) U(0)=0$, and $c(\lambda)$ is a zero of $U(\lambda, t)=0$, then $c(\lambda)$ is a differentiable function and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\frac{d}{d t} U(\lambda, c(\lambda))\right]^{2} \frac{d c(\lambda)}{d \lambda}=-\int_{0}^{c(\lambda)} \frac{\partial R(\lambda, t)}{\partial \lambda} U^{2}(\lambda, t) d t \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

provided $(\partial / \partial \lambda) R(\lambda, t)$ is continuous on [ $0, c(\lambda)]$. This formula was found by Richardson [15] in the case $U(0)=0$.

It is well known that the ultraspherical polynomial $P_{n}(x)=P_{n}^{(\lambda)}(x)$ of degree $n$ satisfies the second order differential equation [17, p. 80]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1-x^{2}\right) y^{\prime \prime}-(2 \lambda+1) x y^{\prime}+n(n+2 \lambda) y=0 \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the polynomials $\left\{P_{n}^{(\lambda)}(x)\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ are orthogonal on the interval $[-1,1]$ with the weight function $\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{\lambda-(1 / 2)}$ which means that

$$
\int_{-1}^{1}\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{\lambda-(1 / 2)} P_{n}^{(\lambda)}(x) P_{m}^{(\lambda)}(x) d x=0 \quad \text { if } \quad n \neq m
$$

On the other hand, by the symmetry relation $P_{n}(-x)=(-1)^{n} P_{n}(x)$ we have $P_{n}(0) P_{n}^{\prime}(0)=0$. The function $u(x)=\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{\lambda / 2+1 / 4} P_{n}^{(\lambda)}(x)$ satisfies the Sturm-Liouville differential equation

$$
\frac{d^{2} u(x)}{d x^{2}}+Q(\lambda, x) u(x)=0
$$

where

$$
Q(\lambda, x)=\frac{(n+\lambda)^{2}}{1-x^{2}}+\frac{1 / 2+\lambda-\lambda^{2}+x^{2} / 4}{\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{2}}
$$

By substitution $t=f(\lambda) x$ with $f(\lambda)=\left[\lambda+\left(2 n^{2}+1\right) /(4 n+2)\right]^{1 / 2}$, the function $U(t)=u(x)$ satisfies the differential equation

$$
\frac{d^{2} U(t)}{d t^{2}}+R(\lambda, t) U(t)=0
$$

with

$$
R(\lambda, t)=[f(\lambda)]^{-2} Q(\lambda, t / f(\lambda))
$$

Making the substitutions $t^{2}=\tau$ and $f^{2}=\varphi(\lambda)=\lambda+\left(2 n^{2}+1\right) /(4 n+2)$, we have

$$
S(\lambda, \varphi(\lambda), \tau)=R(\lambda, t)=\frac{(n+\lambda)^{2}}{\varphi(\lambda)-\tau}+\frac{\varphi(\lambda)\left(1 / 2+\lambda-\lambda^{2}\right)+\tau / 4}{(\varphi(\lambda)-\tau)^{2}} .
$$

It is clear that $U(0) U^{\prime}(0)=0$ so we can apply the Richardson formula (2.1). Thus we have to calculate the derivative $(d / d \lambda) S(\lambda, \varphi(\lambda), \tau)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\varphi(\lambda)-\tau)^{3} \frac{d}{d \lambda} S(\lambda, \varphi(\lambda), \tau)=A \tau^{2}+B \tau+C, \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A=2(n+\lambda), \\
& B=-(4 n+2 \lambda+1) \varphi(\lambda)+\lambda^{2}-\lambda-1+(n+\lambda)^{2}, \\
& C=(2 n+1) \varphi^{2}(\lambda)+\left[\lambda^{2}-\lambda-1 / 2-(n+\lambda)^{2}\right] \varphi(\lambda) .
\end{aligned}
$$

By definition of $\varphi(\lambda)$ we have $C=0$. Let $\tau_{0}=-B / A$. Then $\tau_{0} \geqslant \varphi(\lambda)$ if $\lambda \in\left(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}\right]$, and $0<\tau_{0}<\varphi(\lambda)$ if $\lambda>\frac{3}{2}$, hence

$$
\frac{d S(\lambda, \varphi(\lambda), \tau}{d \lambda}\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
<0 & \text { for } \quad 0<\tau<\varphi(\lambda) & \text { if } \quad \lambda \in\left(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}\right]  \tag{2.4}\\
<0 & \text { for } \quad 0<\tau<\tau_{0} & \text { if } \quad \lambda>\frac{3}{2} \\
>0 & \text { for } \quad \tau_{0}<\tau<\varphi(\lambda) & \text { if } \quad \lambda>\frac{3}{2}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Now let $c(\lambda)=f(\lambda) x_{n, k}^{(\lambda)}$ be a positive zero of $U(\lambda, t)=0$, hence by (2.1) the sign of $d c(\lambda) / d \lambda$ is determined by the integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(c)=-\int_{0}^{c} \frac{d S\left(\lambda, \varphi(\lambda), t^{2}\right)}{d \lambda} U^{2}(\lambda, t) d t, \quad 0<c<f(\lambda) . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to (2.4), this integral is always positive if $\lambda \in\left(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}\right]$ because the integrand itself is negative. This fact was already exploited in [4]. Our main observation here is that this integral is positive also for $\lambda>3 / 2$. By (2.4) the function $\Phi(c)$ increases as $c$ increases for $0<c<\sqrt{\tau_{0}}$, and $\Phi(c)$ attains its maximum at $c=\sqrt{\tau_{0}}$, then $\Phi(c)$ is decreasing on $\left(\sqrt{\tau_{0}}, f(\lambda)\right)$. At the endpoint $c=f(\lambda)$ it is vanishing, i.e., we have the following result.

Lemma. The function $\Phi(c)$ defined in (2.5) is positive for $0<c<f(\lambda)$ and $\Phi(0)=\Phi(f(\lambda))=0$.

It is clear that Lemma implies our Theorem. Therefore by (2.4), (2.5) we have "only" to show that

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{0}^{f(\lambda)} & \frac{d S\left(\lambda, \varphi(\lambda), t^{2}\right)}{d \lambda} U^{2}(\lambda, t) d t \\
& =f^{-3}(\lambda) \int_{0}^{1} \frac{A \varphi(\lambda) x^{4}+B x^{2}}{\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{3}}\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{\lambda+1 / 2} P_{n}^{2}(x) d x=0 \quad \text { for } \quad \lambda>\frac{3}{2} \tag{2.6}
\end{align*}
$$

## 3. PROOFS

Proof of Relations (1.3), (1.4). ${ }^{1}$
For $v=1,2, \lambda>v-\frac{1}{2}$ we have by (1.1)

$$
\begin{align*}
(2 \lambda- & 2 v+1)\left(I_{v}-I_{v-1}\right) \\
= & (2 \lambda-2 v+1) \int_{-1}^{1}\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{\lambda-v-1 / 2} x^{2} P_{n}^{2}(x) d x \\
= & -\int_{-1}^{1}\left[\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{\lambda-v+1 / 2}\right]^{\prime} x P_{n}^{2}(x) d x \\
= & {\left[-\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{\lambda-v+1 / 2} x P_{n}^{2}(x)\right]_{-1}^{1} } \\
& +\int_{-1}^{1}\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{\lambda-v+1 / 2}\left[P_{n}^{2}(x)+2 x P_{n}(x) P_{n}^{\prime}(x)\right] d x \\
= & I_{v-1}+2 \int_{-1}^{1}\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{\lambda-v+1 / 2} x P_{n}^{\prime}(x) P_{n}(x) d x . \tag{3.1}
\end{align*}
$$

In case $v=1$ the last integral can be easily determined: since $x P_{n}^{\prime}(x)=$ $n P_{n}(x)+\sum_{i=0}^{n-2} c_{n, i} x^{i}$ where $c_{n, i}$ are constant, the orthogonality of the polynomials $\left\{P_{n}(x)\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ gives for this integral

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{-1}^{1} & \left(1-x^{2}\right)^{\lambda-1 / 2} x P_{n}^{\prime}(x) P_{n}(x) d x \\
& =\int_{-1}^{1}\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{\lambda-1 / 2}\left(n P_{n}(x)+\sum_{i=0}^{n-2} c_{n, i} x^{i}\right) P_{n}(x) d x \\
& =n \int_{-1}^{1}\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{\lambda-1 / 2} P_{n}^{2}(x) d x=n I_{0},
\end{aligned}
$$

[^1]hence by (3.1)
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
(2 \lambda-1) I_{1}=2(n+\lambda) I_{0} \quad \text { for } \quad \lambda>\frac{1}{2} . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

By (1.2), (3.2) the formula (1.3) follows.
In case $v=2$ we proceed in the following way. By (2.2) we have for $y=P_{n}(x)$

$$
\left(1-x^{2}\right) P_{n}^{\prime \prime}(x)-(2 \lambda+1) x P_{n}^{\prime}(x)+n(n+2 \lambda) P_{n}(x)=0 .
$$

Multiply this identity by $\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{\lambda-3 / 2} P_{n}(x)$ and integrate it over $[-1,1]$ we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
(2 \lambda & +1) \int_{-1}^{1}\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{\lambda-3 / 2} x P_{n}^{\prime}(x) P_{n}(x) d x \\
& =-\int_{-1}^{1}\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{\lambda-1 / 2} P_{n}^{\prime \prime}(x) P_{n}(x) d x+n(n+2 \lambda) I_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

where the integral on the right hand side is zero because of the orthogonality. Hence we get by (3.1)

$$
\begin{equation*}
2\left(\lambda-\frac{3}{2}\right)\left(\lambda+\frac{1}{2}\right) I_{2}=\left[(n+\lambda)^{2}+\lambda^{2}-\lambda-1\right] I_{1} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and (1.4) follows from (1.3).
Proof of Relation (2.6). Taking into account of the actual values $A=$ $2(n+\lambda)$ and $B$ in (2.3), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
A \varphi(\lambda) x^{4}+B x^{2}= & \varphi(\lambda)\left[2(n+\lambda)\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{2}-(2 \lambda-1)\left(1\left(-x^{2}\right)\right]\right. \\
& +\left[2\left(\lambda+\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(\lambda-\frac{3}{2}\right)-\left[(n+\lambda)^{2}+\lambda^{2}-\lambda-1\right]\left(1-x^{2}\right)\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then by (1.1) we obtain for the integral in (2.6)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{A \varphi(\lambda) x^{4}+B x^{2}}{\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{3}}\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{\lambda+1 / 2} P_{n}^{2}(x) d x \\
& \quad= \\
& \quad \varphi(\lambda)\left[2(n+\lambda) I_{0}-(2 \lambda-1) I_{1}\right] \\
& \quad+\left[2\left(\lambda+\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(\lambda-\frac{3}{2}\right) I_{2}\left[(n+\lambda)^{2}+\lambda^{2}-\lambda-1\right] I_{1}\right],
\end{aligned}
$$

hence the relations (3.2), (3.3) imply that this integral is zero.
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